

REMARKS

Please cancel Claims 3, 4, 11, 12, 19, 20, 27 and 28 without prejudice. Claims 1, 2, 5-10, 13-18, 21-26 and 29-32 are pending. Claims 1, 5-7, 9, 13-15, 17, 21-23 and 25 are amended herein. No new matter is added as a result of the claim amendments.

102 Rejections

The instant Office Action states that Claims 1, 2, 5-10, 13-18, 21-26 and 29-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Pacifici et al. ("Pacifici;" US Patent No. 6,230,171). The Applicant has reviewed the cited reference and respectfully submits that the present invention as recited in Claims 1, 2, 5-10, 13-18, 21-26 and 29-32 is not shown or suggested by Pacifici.

Independent Claim 1 recites that an embodiment of the present invention is directed to a method that includes "generating a second window within said first window, said second window for displaying a copy of at least a portion of said Web page that is copied from said first window and pasted into said second window" and "receiving user input comprising remarks associated with a position within said Web page, said position identified using a two-dimensional reference scheme that is graphically represented along two sides of said second window." Claims 2 and 5-8 are dependent on Claim 1 and recite additional limitations.

Independent Claim 9 recites that an embodiment of the present invention is directed to a computer system that executes a method that

includes “displaying said Web page within a first window on said display device, said first window generated by said Web browser; ... generating a second window within said first window, said second window generated by said Web browser, said second window for receiving information comprising a copy of at least a portion of said Web page” and “receiving user input comprising remarks associated with a position within said Web page, said position identified using a two-dimensional reference scheme that is graphically represented along two sides of said second window.” Claims 10 and 13-16 are dependent on Claim 9 and recite additional limitations.

Independent Claim 17 recites that an embodiment of the present invention is directed to a computer-usable medium that causes a computer system to execute a method that includes “generating a second window within said first window, said second window for displaying ~~receiving~~ information that is copied from said first window” and “receiving user input comprising remarks associated with a position within said Web page, said position identified using a two-dimensional reference scheme that is graphically represented along two sides of said second window.” Claims 18 and 21-24 are dependent on Claim 17 and recite additional limitations.

Independent Claim 25 recites that an embodiment of the present invention is directed to a system that includes “means for generating a second window within said first window, said second window for displaying information comprising at least a portion of said Web page that is copied from said first window and pasted into said second window” and “means for receiving user input comprising remarks associated with a

position within said Web page, said position identified using a two-dimensional reference scheme that is graphically represented along two sides of said second window.” Claims 26 and 29-32 are dependent on Claim 25 and recite additional limitations.

Applicant respectfully submits that the limitations of independent Claims 1, 9, 17 and 25 cited above are not shown or suggested by Pacifici. Specifically, Applicant respectfully submits that Pacifici does not show or suggest a second window within a first window, in which at least a portion of a Web page displayed in the first window is copied (and pasted) into the second window. Applicant respectfully submits that the markup system 210 of Pacifici does not constitute such a second window. Figure 2 of Pacifici shows only a single display (e.g., shared document 214) to which information (e.g., HTML components 212) can be added. The HTML components 212 of Pacifici do not represent second windows. This point is further illustrated by Figure 3 of Pacifici, which appears to show only a single window 310. The virtual planes 312, 314, 316 and 318 in Figure 3 illustrate the components within window 310, but do not represent second windows. Therefore, considering Figures 2 and 3 of Pacifici as well as Pacifici in its entirety, Applicant respectfully asserts that a second window as recited in independent Claims 1, 9, 17 and 25 is not shown or suggested by the reference.

Also, Applicant respectfully submits that Pacifici does not show or suggest a two-dimensional reference scheme graphically represented along two sides of the second window, for the purpose of identifying a position

within the second window. In the instant Office Action, it is alleged that Pacifici describes a mechanism for focusing attention to a certain area of a shared document. However, Applicant respectfully asserts that Pacifici does not show or suggest the reference scheme recited in independent Claims 1, 9, 17 and 25.

Therefore, Applicant respectfully submits that independent Claims 1, 9, 17 and 25 traverse the basis for rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) and are in condition for allowance. As such, Applicant also respectfully submits that Claims 2, 5-8, 10, 13-16, 18, 21-24, 26 and 29-32 traverse the basis for rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), as these claims are dependent on allowable base claims and recite additional limitations.

Conclusions

In light of the above remarks, reconsideration of the rejected claims is respectfully requested.

Based on the arguments presented above, it is respectfully asserted that Claims 1, 2, 5-10, 13-18, 21-26 and 29-32 overcome the rejections of record and, therefore, allowance of these claims is solicited.

The references cited but not relied upon have been reviewed. These references were not found to show or suggest the present claimed invention: US Patent Nos. 5,671,428; 6,360,236; 5,596,700; 6,571,295; 6,438,564; 6,687,878; 5,669,005; 6,009,441; 5,706,452; 5,694,544; 5,890,177; and


5,870,767. The Browning reference (TSW 03-08) cited in the instant Office Action is dated after the filing date of the instant application.

The Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present Application.

Respectfully submitted,

WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP

Date: 7/23/04



William A. Zarbis
Reg. No. 46,120

Two North Market Street
Third Floor
San Jose, California 95113
(408) 938-9060